

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,003	08/31/2000	Graham Kirsch	M4065.0340/P340	2935
24998	7590 10/31/2003	EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			CHOI, WOO H	
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2186	11.
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/652,003	KIRSCH, GRAHAM				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Woo H. Choi	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aversinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which it (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	tion. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n on			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(e):					
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 7-10,14-17,19 timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowab 	0-21,28-31 and 36-39 would be allo	owable if submitted in a separate),			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 19-21.						
Claim(s) objected to: 7-10,14-17,28-31 and 36-39.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,11-13,22-27,32-35,41-49 and 5	<u>51</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18,42,50.						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
Nic/Mos						

43 . . . 9



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that there is no data path circuit between a PE and a memory is absurd. A functional computer's processor requires a data path that connects it to memory for storage and retrieval of data/instruction to be processed. Applicant's argument against the Fung reference has been addressed in the last office action.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100